UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,188	09/09/2003	Joseph E. Legare	Legare-PAUS0004	1617
58937 WOLFF LAW	7590 10/19/2007 OFFICE, PLLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9855			NGUYEN, TU MINH	
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27515-9855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
, -		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/657,188	LEGARE, JOSEPH E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu.M. Nguyen	3748 :			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6) I , cause the application to becom	INICATION. ly a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14,15 and 17-20 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13,16 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from cons	sideration.			
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received i rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030909 	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,188

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on June 15, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 1-13, 16, and 21-24 are readable thereon will be examined in its full merit. Claims 14, 15, and 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of all pending claims is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would necessarily encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. Thus, it is submitted that a search and examination of the entire application could be performed without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the three groups of claimed invention are clearly not related in terms of their structures and/or modes of operation. For example, in the elected Group I, the function is to adjust an engine operating parameter such as a fuel injection amount for each individual cylinder based on a synchronizing signal provided by an exhaust gas sensor during a transient load change. In Group II, the function is to adjust an engine operating parameter such as a fuel injection amount for each individual cylinder during a transient load change. And in Group III, the function is to judge an operating condition of a catalytic converter. The clear unrelated features among the groups of claimed invention would require a separate search area for each group and thus, impose a burden in search and examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akazaki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,566,071).

Re claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 16, 21, 23, and 24, as shown in Figures 1 and 14, Akazaki et al. disclose a method of individual engine cylinder closed loop fuel control, including flue steps of:

- providing a catalyst (26) for reducing exhaust gas emissions;
- detecting exhaust gases' rich or lean conditions with a switching oxygen sensor (40);
- synchronizing (step S112) a sampling time period for detecting a change in an oxygen sensor's output condition to an individually selected cylinder's exhaust gases entering the exhaust manifold;
- detecting (step S110) at least one engine parameter (engine speed or manifold absolute pressure) sufficient to determine stable engine operational conditions;
- controlling a closed loop fuel control change in the fuel quantity during a first period to all cylinders connected to an exhaust manifold with a common oxygen sensor by using the minimum said quantity to cause sensor cycling between rich and lean conditions (see at least the Abstract and Figure 8);

Application/Control Number: 10/657,188

Art Unit: 3748

- sampling the oxygen sensor's condition during a second time period, when each individual cylinder's gases are entering said exhaust manifold and identifying cylinders resulting in a contrary sensor condition to the respective said closed loop fuel control changes during the first period (see at least lines 27-45 of column 8);

Page 4

- controlling (step S114) a minimum change in fuel quantity into at least one of the selected individual cylinders with said contrary sensor conditions, using said fuel quantity sufficient to produce a change in the oxygen sensor condition, thus differing from the selected individual cylinder's exhaust gases' conditions sampled in the second time period, during a third time period;
- determining (step S114) the minimum change in fuel quantity causing a change in the oxygen sensor condition for each selected individual cylinder having said contrary sensor conditions follow the third time period and storing in memory such minimums for each respective individual cylinder during stoichiometric conditions;
- establishing (steps S116 and s118) a learned average fuel quantity offset for each individual cylinder by adjusting all cylinders' offsets such that the minimum said fuel control change necessary for each selected engine operational condition are stored in memory.

Re claims 2 and 25, the method of Akazaki et al. further comprises the step of determining an oxygen sensor time response characteristics for assessing proper operating condition of the oxygen sensor using the time delay period stored in memory (see Figure 3 at least line 30 of column 4 to line 51 of column 6).

Re claims 5 and 11, in the method of Akazaki et al., the oxygen sensor detecting exhaust gases' conditions is a switching type sensor (40) having two discrete output voltage characteristics for conditions richer and leaner than stoichiometric.

Re claim 8, in the method of Akazaki et al., the change in fuel quantity is implemented gradually by transitioning to the maximum controlled fuel quantity changes amongst individual cylinders spanning over a number of cylinder firing events in order to minimize perceived changes in engine smoothness caused by step changes in engine cylinders' torque levels.

Re claim 10, in the method of Akazaki et al., the changes in fuel quantity are determined using stored correction values based upon oxygen sensor feedback during prior engine load changes of similar characteristics, such said feedback from subsequent prior combustion events having said fuel quantity causing said cycling of gases' air-fuel about a defined control point.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al. as applied to claim 7 above, in view of Maki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,758,490).

The method of Akazaki et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that the causing cycling of gases' air-fuel about a defined control point is used to

Art Unit: 3748

determine dynamic catalyst oxygen storage characteristics during non-stoichiometrie conditions for modifying subsequent fuel changes into the individual cylinders for more quickly reaching the defined control point.

As shown in Figure 1, Maki et al. disclose a fuel metering control system for a 4-cylinder internal combustion engine, comprising a catalyst (28), an upstream LAF sensor (54), and a downstream oxygen sensor (56). As depicted in Figure 8 and indicated on line 55 of column 7 to line 36 of column 8, Maki et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to estimate the air-fuel ratios at the individual cylinders from the outputs of the upstream LAF sensor and utilize a closed loop air-fuel ratio control with feedback from the downstream oxygen sensor for dynamic catalyst oxygen storage characteristics during lean and rich engine conditions in order to quickly reach a defined control point and to maximize purification efficiency of the catalyst. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Maki et al. in the method of Akazaki et al., since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to effectively control an engine air-fuel ratio for optimum purification efficiency of a catalyst.

Prior Art

- 4. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on September 9, 2003 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of four patents: Akazaki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,363,648), Maki et al. (U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,188

Art Unit: 3748

Patent 5,806,012), Pfefferle (U.S. Patent 5,893,039), and Kato et al. (U.S. Patent 5,911,682)

further disclose a state of the art.

Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

September 4, 2007

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Page 7

Art Unit 3748